

R18-0002
Keswick Land Development Corporation,
Keswick Senior Apartments, LLC and Keswick
Apartments I, LLC
Berkeley District

Board of Supervisors Public Hearing September 25, 2018 Kimberly Pomatto, Planner III

### Request:

- ➤ Keswick was approved in 2011 and rezoned approx. 158 acres from A-2 to PDH-5
  - 240 multi-family units
  - 90 single family attached units
  - 84 age-restricted attached units
  - 150 single family detached units
  - 100 age-restricted multi-family units
  - assisted living facility with 100 residents
  - 36 acre public park
- > Applicants' requested amendments to proffers
  - Eliminate the proffered Architectural Guidelines
  - Change the timing of delivery of park facilities
  - Change the number and type of recreational fields
  - Typographical and clarification changes

### Recommendations:

- The Planning Commission held a public hearing on September 5, 2018 and recommended approval of the proffer amendment as proposed
- Since the Planning Commission meeting the applicants have submitted revised proffers dated September 10, 2018 which address staff concerns related to the delayed delivery of the park
- Staff recommends denial of the proffer amendment as proposed based on the elimination of the commitment to the Keswick Architectural Guidelines; however staff has no objection to the remainder of the proffer amendment which includes typographical edits, clarifying language and changes to recreation facilities provided and the delivery of Phase I and Phase II of the public park



## **Proffer Analysis:**

**Approved Proffer:** Commitment to develop Keswick in conformance with the Keswick Architectural Guidelines and any amendment to the Architectural Guidelines must be approved by the County

- ✓ Architectural features/design
- ✓ Porch styles
- ✓ Garage orientation
- ✓ Building materials
- ✓ Fencing and Landscaping

"The Architectural Guidelines serve as a tool to ensure that the initial vision for Keswick is preserved."

**Requested Amendment:** Delete the proffer which commits to develop Keswick in conformance with the Keswick Architectural Guidelines

**Recommendation:** Staff does not recommend the elimination of the Keswick Architectural Guidelines

# Proffer Analysis (cont.):

**Approved Proffer:** Commitment to provide a public park with 3 soccer fields and goals, complete Phase I of the park prior to the issuance of the 260<sup>th</sup> <u>building</u> permit and Phase II prior to the issuance of the 400<sup>th</sup> <u>building</u> permit

- ✓ Phase I includes the park entrance, paved parking lot, public bathrooms, maintenance building and 1 soccer field
- ✓ Phase II includes 2 soccer fields, 2 tennis courts, 1 picnic pavilion, 2 horseshoe pits, 1 tot lot, nature trail, irrigation facilities and storm water facilities

**Requested Amendments:** Replace 2 of the soccer fields with 2 little league baseball diamonds, deliver Phase I and Phase II prior to the issuance of the **260**<sup>th</sup> residential occupancy permit

**Recommendation:** Staff is supportive of the change in the fields to be provided as this meets the current needs of the County and the timelines for delivery of both Phase I and Phase II of the park

## Proffer Analysis (cont.):

**Approved Proffer:** The applicant shall provide irrigation facilities for the park and the irrigation will be provided by the storm water management ponds

**Requested Amendment:** Delete language which states the storm water ponds will be the source for irrigation

**Recommendation:** Staff is supportive of amendment as the County Code requires irrigation facilities to be served by public water if available

## Proffer Analysis (cont.):

#### **Other Requested Amendments:**

- Update the proffers with new ownership information and tax map numbers
- Specifies the type of housing unit referenced for each land bay but does <u>not</u> increase or change the number of permitted units
- Amends the time of delivery for a trail along Robert E. Lee from the 320<sup>th</sup> building permit to the 320<sup>th</sup> occupancy permit

**Recommendation:** Staff is supportive of amendments as proposed

# **Key Findings:**

#### In Favor

- Proposed revisions to the park facilities address the current needs of the County
- Provides greater clarity and specificity

#### **Against**

Deletion of the proffered Keswick Architectural
 Guidelines eliminates the requirement to construct the
 Keswick community as presented and as approved with
 the original rezoning

### Recommendations:

- Planning Commission recommends approval
- Staff recommends denial of the proffer amendment as proposed but recommends approval with the following point
  - A revision to the Keswick Architectural Guidelines to include the changes identified by the applicant but maintaining the commitment to the guidelines

