From: Sean Fogarty <sfogarty77@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 2, 2019 12:46 PM
To: grenewpc@gmail.com; 2012sheriffsmith@gmail.com; berkeleymaddox@gmail.com; spotsysalem@gmail.com; 'Travis Bullock'
Cc: Wanda Parrish; Patrick White; Paulette Mann; Paulette Mann; 'Concerned Citizens'; 'Russ Mueller'; Dave Hammond
Subject: Concerned Citizens Comments on Revised Staff Report SUP18-0001
Attachments: 010219 Comments to staff and PC on App A.pdf; Suggested Questions for Commissioners 010219.pdf

Planning Commissioners,

I've attached two documents our group has created as a result of the revised staff reports (dated Dec 27th) covering the sPower SUP applications (SUPs 18-0001, 0002, 0003). These are in addition to the two documents that Dave Hammond sent earlier today.

1) Suggested Questions for Commissioners, Public Hearings SUPs 18-0001, 18-0002, 18-0003, Jan 2, 2019

2) Comments on Appendix A to Planning Commission Staff Report on SUP18-0001 dtd Dec 27, 2018

Appendix A to the staff report (Comp Plan Analysis) cites 21 separate provisions in the Comp Plan that are either partially or completely violated by the proposed application, yet the staff dismisses all 21 (or attempts mitigation) and calls the application substantially in accord. Additionally, there is no discussion in the staff report on how big is too big because the county has not developed the necessary policies to make those determinations. That was discussed at the May 22 BoS meeting in which the Comp Plan revisions were approved, but nothing has been done to develop county policies since then. In our opinion, the proposed Project is simply too large and is located too close to residential communities and is in conflict with too many aspects of the Comp Plan to be considered in compliance. It clearly is not. A 20 MW solar facility would fit in much better, and could be acceptable as a complementary land use.

For these reasons, as well inadequate conditioning of the project, the CCSC cannot support the SUPs as currently configured and encourages the Commissioners to vote to recommend disapproval.

Finally, during the presentations made by the attorney for the applicant on Dec 5th and Dec 19th, you were provided a letter from Mr. Creamer, sPower's CEO, which included various projects or other promises of financial support. Their attorney referred to some of these promises in his presentations. As you know, none of those items are included in the SUPs or the staff report's conditions and were not considered in the staff's fiscal analysis of the proposal. They can't be included because the county cannot require proffers for this proposal. That makes Mr. Creamer's letter unenforceable so it should be disregarded.

Thank you,

Sean Fogarty
Livingston District
540-972-4957

From: Dave Hammond [mailto:davehammond@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2019 5:04 AM
To: grenewpc@gmail.com; 2012sheriffsmith@gmail.com; berkeleymaddox@gmail.com; spotsysalem@gmail.com; Travis Bullock
Cc: Wanda Parrish; Patrick White; Paulette Mann; planning@spotsylvania.va.us; Concerned Citizens; Sean & Anita Fogarty; Russ Mueller
Subject: Concerned Citizens Comments on Revised Staff Report SUP18-0001

Planning Commissioners --

Our Concerned Citizens of Spotsylvania County group have documented our comments on the revised staff report (dated Dec. 27, 2018) covering the sPower SUP application for Site A (SUP18-0001). Due to the volume of the material, our comments are contained in four documents:

1) Summary of Key Concerns with staff reports and conclusions:
CCSC Summary of Key Concerns with Staff Reports SUP-0001-0002-0003 2Jan2019

2) Detailed comments on the proposed conditions in staff report for sPower SUP application SUP18-0001. This document is specific to SUP18-0001, but the majority of the comments are applicable to the other two sites (Site A is the larger and more complex case).
CCSC Detailed Comments on Conditions in Planning Commission Staff Report on SUP18-0001 2Jan2019

3) "Suggested Questions for Commissioners, Public Hearings SUPs 18-0001, 18-0002, 18-0003, Jan 2, 2019"
>> This document will be sent separately by Sean Fogarty

4) "Comments on Appendix A to Planning Commission Staff Report on SUP18-0001 dtd Dec 27, 2018"
Detailed comments and questions on the Comprehensive Plan analysis in the staff reports.
>> This document will be sent separately by Sean Fogarty

Please let us know if you have any questions on these documents. We sincerely hope that you will evaluate this input carefully as you consider your decisions about these three special use permit applications.

Regards,

Dave Hammond
11416 Seymour Lane
Spotsylvania, VA

--
This email was Malware checked by UTM 9. http://www.sophos.com