

**David Dameron**

---

**From:** Aleta Kennedy <ajkennedy@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 9, 2019 4:58 PM  
**To:** David Dameron  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]: SUP180011

We are not in agreement with this huge 105 foot monopole being installed near our home This is a residential area very congested and Not commercial I'm sure a better place could better serve for this huge 105 foot pole certainly not among these homes in this residential area Thanking you in advance for not permitting this to happen In this area Aleta & James Kennedy Ajkennedy@hotmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

--

This email was Malware checked by UTM 9. <http://www.sophos.com>

## David Dameron

---

**From:** Paulette Mann  
**Sent:** Monday, August 12, 2019 2:29 PM  
**To:** Wanda Parrish; David Dameron  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T Telecom at Peace United Methodist

Paulette Mann  
Office Manager  
Department of Planning  
9019 Old Battlefield Blvd, Suite 320  
Spotsylvania, Virginia 22553  
(540) 507-7447  
pmann@spotsylvania.va.us

---

**From:** Debbie Williams  
**Sent:** Monday, August 12, 2019 2:22 PM  
**To:** neverriles@comcast.net  
**Cc:** Chris Yakabouski <CYakabouski@spotsylvania.va.us>; Travis Bullock <TBullock@spotsylvania.va.us>; Jennifer Maddox <JMaddox@spotsylvania.va.us>; Gregg Newhouse <GNewhouse@spotsylvania.va.us>; Howard Smith <HSmith@spotsylvania.va.us>; Paulette Mann <PMann@spotsylvania.va.us>; Kevin Marshall <KMarshall@spotsylvania.va.us>; Timothy J. McLaughlin <McLaughlinTJ@Spotsylvania.va.us>; David Ross <David.Ross@Spotsylvania.va.us>; Gary Skinner <GSkinner@spotsylvania.va.us>; Thomas G. Benton <gbenton@spotsylvania.va.us>; Paul D. Trampe <PTrampe@Spotsylvania.va.us>  
**Subject:** AT&T Telecom at Peace United Methodist

Mr Riles,

I have forwarded your email to the Planning Commission Members and Board of Supervisors regarding the proposed Peace Methodist Church Cell Tower. Unfortunately, I do not have Richard Thompson email address. I would like to respond to several of your comments which are incorrect. Please see my responds in bold print below.

Regards,  
Debbie

Deborah F Williams  
Commissioner of the Revenue  
Spotsylvania County

---

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Arthur Riles [mailto:neverriles@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 9, 2019 9:17 PM  
**To:** Janet Lloyd <JLloyd@spotsylvania.va.us>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]: Courtland District Proposed Peace Baptist Church Cell Tower

Dear Madam Commissioner of Revenue:

Could you add some relevance why the zoning committee you have appointed (Courtland District) and in fact all others at the hearings unanimously agreed to support the approval to provide a cell tower construction at the Peace Methodist Church in our neighborhood. **The Commissioner of the Revenue does not appoint the Planning Members, they are selected by the Board of Supervisors for each of their districts.** Albeit the government notes it's within the emission standards who the heck with any logical conscience can trust anything the government agencies rule on? Though Cell Phone towers honestly are relatively new, I see a mixed bag of opinions across the world on this subject.

The FTC and FCC have done little to protect our privacy from intrusion data breaches and I highly suspect anything they rule on lack the full scope studies to prove their findings. It's pretty obvious of the real truth is paved over by big money lobbyists. The promotion of this tower in a residential area is highly suspect

Why would the county support a tower at a non-profit organization where the county would receive less revenues due to its non-tax status? Why would you not be fighting for top dollar to support the Spotsy coffers by making all towers be placed on fully taxable commercial properties that would bring all revenues to the county? And not be able to skirt the non-profit, tax exempt status of a church. Since they are entering into a commercial enterprise, it seems then would violate their non-exempt tax status and all revenue of the church must be considered taxable. It can't be both ways, this tells me the Spotsylvania Commissioner expects some campaign contributions by allowing this to happen by the big money conglomerate - ATT.

**This comment offends me and if you check my campaign contributions I have never receive a contribution "by a big money conglomerate".** Or otherwise why would you promote anything that is harmful to residents, threaten the health of senior and children (daycare almost across the street) which brings in a diminished tax base? There must be a silver lining here. This borders on malfeasance and your judgement in allowing the committee there to represent you shows very poor judgement in favoring this. .

Of second note, we the citizens and residents affected did not appreciate the last hearing held where the committee members took a suspicious '70 minute' recess before the residents had a chance to voice their opposition. This appeared to have a planned ulterior motive and many parents with children at home were forced to leave. This tactic is not acceptable. **As stated earlier, I am forwarding your comments and concerns to the Planning Committee Members. I am not in a position to provide an explanation for their recess.**

There is a petition underway and contact to the Governor's office to investigate the appearance of foul play already underway. If we don't have laws to protect us state wide then they must be introduced. Look at the horrible mess the Governor created with the off shore investment of non -auditable nightmare where he receive huge campaign dollars where the Federal Government could have funded at half the cost to the consumers. Is it nothing gets done unless there is some palm greasing? The pay for play scheme? We need fortitude, honesty and integrity. I would like a breakout of the county funds being lost if this is approved to go further with the tower at the church.. What laws are in place for you to take sides with big conglomerated to side with them for campaign contributions? **Again, I am not on either the Board of Supervisors or Planning Committee who will be making the decision on Application SUP18-0011.**

We won't this to be foremost identified with the State Officials and an referendum brought forth. But then again how can they possibly care about us when they kill the most vulnerable precious life form that our Lord has given us? The sacrifice of a new born creature of God at it's most vulnerable time alive. I'm so ashamed to be in this state, we need a change to protect the helpless, the old, the sick, and the poor babies form killers who line their pockets with big money supporters.

NOT FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE THE OPPOSITON PARTY OF THIS ISSUE AND YOUR COUNTY OFFICIALS.

I WANT A CALL FORM Mr. Thompson \*Courtland Rep" to explain this and a full explanation at the hearing. I can't see now any explanation in your favor, I hope with respect for your position you consider this issue as we , the resident see it.

High Regards,

Art Riles

540 785=1948  
11806 Sawgrass Lane  
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

--

This email was Malware checked by UTM 9. <http://www.sophos.com>

## David Dameron

---

**From:** Paulette Mann  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 16, 2019 3:28 PM  
**To:** Cary Feld  
**Cc:** David Dameron  
**Subject:** RE: SUP18-0011 AT&T Telecom @ Peace United Methodist

Thank you for your comments. I will provide your email to the PC members and staff planner, David Dameron.

Paulette Mann  
Office Manager  
Department of Planning  
9019 Old Battlefield Blvd, Suite 320  
Spotsylvania, Virginia 22553  
(540) 507-7447  
pmann@spotsylvania.va.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Cary Feld [mailto:carysfeld@gmail.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 3:15 PM  
To: Paulette Mann <PMann@spotsylvania.va.us>  
Subject: SUP18-0011 AT&T Telecom @ Peace United Methodist

I regret that I am unable to attend the Planning Commission meeting tomorrow night at which time the special use permit will be considered to allow AT&T to construct a 105 foot monopole communication tower. I strongly object to the construction of such a tower on Maple Grove Drive. Since cell phone towers first were erected there have been concerns over radiation emanating from these towers and the negative health effects that no research to date has conclusively proven is safe to humans.

For 10 years, David McCormick and his colleagues at the IIT Research Institute in Chicago have been studying radio frequency (RF) fields generated by cell phones and similar devices. Their research, funded by the National Toxicology Program, exposed rodents to high levels of RF radiation for nine hours a day, every day for two years. Rats receiving long-term exposure to RF demonstrated small increases in the incidences of two types of malignant tumors — the same tumors that have been found in some studies of RF-exposed human populations.

"Is there a hazard or potential risk of cancer in humans who are exposed to RF fields? I believe the answer is yes," McCormick says.

"But the more important question is, what is your exposure?"

I recognize that the applicant will likely say that there is little danger from the low RFs generated, and that the height of the tower further minimizes the risk. But why should ANY risk be acceptable?

There is no problem with AT&T cell service in the area. My wife and I have been here for many years, and have had AT&T service for cell phones for many years and have never had a problem making or receiving calls.

Surely there are locations further removed from residential areas that could and should be considered first.

In addition, the tower would be unattractive and I believe might impact property values in the area.

I hope the Planning Commission will protect the interests of the residents of the area and deny the special use permit to permit the construction of this tower.

Cary Feld  
5904 Glen Eagles Drive  
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

--

This email was Malware checked by UTM 9. <http://www.sophos.com>